

Re: evidences against subduction theory

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2007-07/msg00419.html>

- *From:* first_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Florian)
 - *Date:* Thu, 12 Jul 2007 23:22:55 +0200
-

Nicolas Krebs <nicolas1.krebs3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

If the continental plate are not deformed (nor destroyed) in the procesus,

Bad assumption. Continental plate are deformed.

it dont know (i doubt) how 99% plate could fit if i would (i have not yet done the experiance) remove the oceans's floor step by step (by their age).

Models have been done for more than 70 years. You may have a look at neal adams reconstitution. (Quicktime is required).

<http://www.continuitystudios.net/guestvid.html>

Of course it is an approximate reconstitution but it gives a good idea of what's going on.

See Maxlow's thesis for more accurate models:

<http://adt.curtin.edu.au/theses/available/adt-WCU20020117.145715/>

[...]

So Europe and Siberia where not close together before Permian.

Note that the Ural mountain is still active while the collision ended at least hundred mi