

Re: evidences against subduction theory

## Re: evidences against subduction theory

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2007-07/msg00624.html>

---

- *From:* Nicolas Krebs <[nicolas.l.krebs3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nicolas.l.krebs3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 16 Jul 2007 22:38:43 +0200
- 

Florian écrit dans l'article  
[news:li1bipu.cs0kgd1771lt4N%first\\_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:li1bipu.cs0kgd1771lt4N%first_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

From: Florian <[first\\_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:first_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>

Are you allowed to use this email address ?

quite a funny creationist.

It looks like creationisme, isn't it ?

Models have been done for more than 70 years.

Give me the reconstitution map step by step and their making of.

Then I suggest you to read the PhD thesis of Maxlow:

<http://adt.curtin.edu.au/theses/available/adt-WCU20020117.145715/unrestricted/>

The only world maps in those .pdf (figure 3.17, 3.19, 3.21) are showing "Conventional" geology. Then not a single map for EE in the internet. So long, and thanks for all the fish.

.