

Re: evidences against subduction theory

## Re: evidences against subduction theory

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2007-07/msg00632.html>

---

- *From:* [first\\_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:first_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx) (Florian)
  - *Date:* Tue, 17 Jul 2007 00:17:20 +0200
- 

Nicolas Krebs <[nicolas1.krebs3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nicolas1.krebs3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Florian écrit dans l'article  
[news:li1bipu.cs0kgd1771lt4N%first\\_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:li1bipu.cs0kgd1771lt4N%first_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

From: Florian <[first\\_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:first_name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>

Are you allowed to use this email address ?

Whatever. This is not an email address.

It looks like creationisme, isn't it ?

You are the creationist:  
<[46953db1\\$0\\$6739\\$79c14f64@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:46953db1$0$6739$79c14f64@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>

The only world maps in those .pdf (figure 3.17, 3.19, 3.21) are showing  
"Conventional" geology.

Jeez... Try chapter 2.

Then not a single map for EE in the internet.

Try again newbie.

--  
Florian

Re: evidences against subduction theory

Re: evidences against subduction theory

"Tout est au mieux dans le meilleur des mondes possibles"  
Voltaire vs Leibniz (1-0)

.