

Re: The pseudo miracles of Plate tectonics Zealots

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2008-08/msg00450.html>

- *From:* don findlay <don@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 20 Aug 2008 03:26:12 -0700 (PDT)
-

sir.jpturc...@xxxxxxx wrote:

On 19 août, 14:20, auxotectonics_deletethis@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
(Florian) wrote:

The true question is: Why is there currently active growth of some planets/moons (Encelade, Earth, Venus, Io...) and at the same time very slow growth from other (Moon, Mercury, Mimas...)

Obviously, We won't get a clear answer until we figure out the mechanism.

That mechanism is obvious from the UPL or Universal Pressure Law approach.

But this approach ruins the other pretensions of the false geology & fraudulent time scale based on fossils & radio-nucleides decay.

Miracle 6: Why is expansion not seen occurring on Earth?

Modern

instruments are plenty accurate to detect changes in both size and mass,
yet they detect neither.

At our timescale, the growth is only perceptible through coseismic displacement and deformation of the crust measurable using GPS. The change in mass is at the limit of detection for satellites. Scalera has a discussion about it:

<http://www.earth-prints.org/handle/2122/1066>

Never heard of faults and deep sea trenches ? etc
... and again the time scale is not only idiotic, but as well since
the basis of a whole body of Academic morons living out of that fraud,
it is as well unquestionable. A kind of condemnation of the whole
Mankind to permanent lunacy.

By the way EE & PE (Planetary Expansion) to boost do not call upon
mass increase... as the True Geology establishes the balance of matter
is always negative vs time lapse.

In clear NO mass creation ever.... and the answer is out there !

A kind word to George, by the way, who is suffering hell from the side
effects of his diabetes. Up to 10 pills a day and that nagging
question of Earth Expansion running in a loop in his aging fossil
brain synapses. Poor George !

To Don.

Obviously Stuart has not understood the first word about Plato 's
cavern allegory... and his disparaging lighting projected on Plato as
implied by some alleged Socrates ' common sense comment, is just so
ludicrous that it is indeed pathetic. Is Stuart following some secret
agenda where the vision of Plato's superior intelligence questions
the material view of pharisian Rabbids in the Talmud or the
Thora ? ... and links too directly those alleged divine books, of
real frauds & intellectual thieves in fact, to the real sources of
their knowledge : the Ancient Science of Egypt, Sumer, Ur etc the very
source indeed of Plato's understanding of our Universe !

I enjoy at all times reading your evidence on the Plate Tectonics '
incoherence & pinning down indeed all those Masters of Deception fed
fatly by a University system built on lies, innuendos & thieving.

We are still in the Dark Ages, and the end of that tunnel is not in
sight indeed.

jpturcaud

Tunnel? I think we haven't even entered it. ... I think we can
state this with virtually absolute certainty: We have hardly begun to
understand the first thing about the Earth's large-scale geological
processes. And I don't mean with respect to the mysterious interior
of the core or the mantle. I mean with respect to the crust we walk
about on.

It is where modern geology came in, in the 1920's, with Arthur Holmes'
book, 'Principles of Physical Geology'. It is still one of the best
books outlining the parameters of geology. His first few paragraphs
lay the ground – "We start with gravity, and that fact that the Earth
is rotating. These two factors alone must dictate the large scale
geological processes that we see. We also understand that the surface

Re: The pseudo miracles of Plate tectonics Zealots

of the Earth we live on (the crust) is no more than the thickness of a pencil line on the scale of an average circle on the page. We also understand the ferocity with which erosion destroys the land surface. The big question therefore to address is why is there any land left at all to be eroded?"

(And we have progressed no further in almost a hundred years.) It is this question which has occupied the minds of all who have seriously addressed the issue:– what is the process of the formation of mountains – "mountain 'building' "? How does 'orogeny' operate, to ensure that there is land 'left' to be eroded?

And the best that Plate Tectonics can come up with is (Ughh,) the "collision of plates". The collision of Plates builds mountains. And it cannot even maintain its rationale on this point. The battering ram of Africa (building the Alps) is discarded for want of a subduction zone, .. And India colliding with Asia to 'build' the Himalayas is 'rephrased' as "docking" and "tucking under" and "lifting", .. a zone of 'Flat' Subduction (whatever that is in the lexicon of Plate Tectonics, where continental crust descending is forbidden on grounds of flotation principles). The mountains that are supposed to be crumpled – the highest terrains of the planet – are in fact of flat–lying stratigraphy. And those which are crumpled are recognised by workers in the field as gravitational collapse structures, not collisional crustal compressional. ("Lifting and trucking under") (How many websites can we google up with India "lifting and "tucking under" versus "colliding" and "crumpling" ?

'Isostatic rebound' (which lifts the land) does not build mountains – it agreeably merely uplifts land on the scale of raised beaches here and there, where there has been a glacier or an ice sheet. Google up the Himalayas to see the scale of a glacier – or Greenland to see an ice sheet, ..when we are talking about uplift on a global scale – which is what Arthur Holmes' book is testimony too. It must have puzzled him greatly, since he apparently came down on the side of convection. "Apparently" because towards the end of his life could not reconcile himself with convection as the driver for plate tectonics, even though he was lauded as the originator of the concept of radioactive decay as the heat source for it. He apparently then never believed it himself.

(Now there's an original thinker for you.... Doubtful of his original think) (And bloody 'George', ..crinoid George.) (And Stu) (In rubber.)

Why? nobody reading his book for the profusion of pictures showing global geology can fail to appreciate the questions that must have crossed his mind. And all of them returning to that simple point:– "How come?" (land left to be eroded). How come the continual uplift of *FLAT* land – and sea–floor flat land at that. ! ? It's as if he is deliberately making the point. "Collision of plates?" ("Piss

Re: The pseudo miracles of Plate tectonics Zealots

off you lot – And leave my radioactive decay alone! ")

Holmes died in the sixties. And Carey, a younger man, .. and a very able one at that, .. had been teaching first plate tectonics, based very much on Holmes' principles of heat – radio active decay since the thirties (new stuff, ..big pull). .. Then later, ..gradually as he realised it was not working with respect to the field, ...Expansion.

"Field? What field? Dunno where you live, but I go surfing in summer and scubing in winter, In my Yew–Beaut, see–through frangipani rubber togs. And in between I instruct all these young enquiring minds in the orgasmic beauty of rubber. So eat your fuckin' hearts out the lot of you... I'm all right (Jack!) I got a gift, that keeps on giving, ..what have you got? Earth Expansion? Sheez! How do you work that out anyway? Geology? What's that? Nothing to do with rubber that's for sure. And how many slashes do you have in your fucking dick anyway? If you want to see slashes, look here. You know what this cost me? Hail Mary's? No, the whole blinking Weeping Wall and maybe the Talmud as well. Why else do you think I've come to be marooned on this here fly–blown heap of volcanic ash in the middle of the Pacific? !! For the good of my Health? "

(Jesus... Wept, ..Camels, .. God, ...Landy, .. Groenstein..)
(probably in that order.)

Oh yes, ..and the tunnel, ..the tunnel.. That we must yet enter.....