

Re: Mt St Helens Activity

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.meteorology/2004-09/0716.html>

From: Bob Officer (*bobofficers_at_invalid.net*)

Date: 09/26/04

Date: Sun, 26 Sep 2004 11:54:54 -0700

On Sun, 26 Sep 2004 10:22:28 GMT, in sci.geo.earthquakes, "Bob Harrington" <rch.NOS-PAM@blarg.net> wrote:

>Michael Mcneil wrote:

>> "Tadapope" <tadapope@aol.com> wrote in message

>> news:20040925190352.15758.00001040@mb-m13.aol.com

>>

>>> *It's getting ready to blow again!*

>

>All the folks what know here say that it's just a bit of very shallow

>rock cracking and minor steam explosions from recent weeks of excess

>rain in the region percolating down into the dome and surrounds.

>

>> *(I thought August was the Harvest Moon.) Here is an interesting run of*

>> *lunar spells. (If you are new to thaumaturgy, the time of the spell is*

>> *everything.)*

>

>Pretty sure September is the Harvest Moon.

Doesn't that depend on the region? Southern latitudes have a longer growing period. While the Far north often has killing frosts far short of standard crops 75-90 day growing period?

>> *While it erupted on the 18th May 1980 there was plenty of warning*

>> *about it for months in advance. I believe the tremours started some 2*

>> *or three months prior. March wasn't it?*

>

>First earthquake was on March 20; first steam explosion that brought old

>ash to the surface was on March 27.

McNut often gets his history wrong, but will never admit being wrong or being corrected.

>> *These are the times of the phases for that year:*

>>

>> *2 Jan 09:02 Thundery*

>

>Thunder is pretty rare in western Washington in January.

sci.geo.meteorology: Re: Mt St Helens Activity

McNut thinks his local weather determines or is an indicator of seismic events world wide. Only a truly ignorant person would think a local thunder storm, would cause or indicate an impending seismic event would take place a some distant location.

Then he couples into the his fantasy the lunar phases as observed at his own location, and makes illogical guesses about world events, both weather-wise and seismic events, world wide.

He is wrong so often it is pathetic.

>> *10 Jan 11:50 Windy and overcast*
>>
>> *17 Jan 21:19 Humid and breezy*
>>
>> *24 Jan 13:58 Fine*
>>
>> *1 Feb 02:21 Unsettled with a spell half way between ho hum to*
>> *thunderly. (Earthquakey weather if ever I saw one.)*
>
> *'cept we still don't get much thunder...*

Whatever, this is McNut, who is McWrong.

>> *9 Feb 07:35 Unsettled with a spell half way between wet to fine.*
>> *Usually bloody awful or there is trouble brewing.*
>>
>> *16 Feb 08:51 Breezy & humid. Another bad one for the earth sciences.*
>>
>> *(All after the fact of course but interesting in that this sort of*
>> *weather spell is not dissimilar to recent events.)*
>>
>> *23 Feb 00:14 Windy and overcast*
>>
>> *1 Mar 21:00 Here we go then. Thunderly.*
>
> *Okay. Now we're getting to the time of year when we get a few*
> *one-bang-wonders in the unstable air behind a Pacific cold front.*

Hey sometimes we get Mid to late fall thunderstorms here. Those are the left over from a tropical storm that travels up the coast rather than into the desert.

>> *9 Mar 23:49 Similar to 23rd Feb, nothing to write home about.*
<snip>

>> **I gather the weather in that part of the world is not too dissimilar*
>> *to the type I enjoy over here. What is important is the digression*
>> *between this folklore (new though it appears) and weather model runs.*
>
> *You can poke around at the University of Washington Atmospheric Sciences*

sci.geo.meteorology: Re: Mt St Helens Activity

>*web site for Pacific Northwest weather, as well as temp, pressure, wind,*
>*and precip records going back about 8 years for many stations.*

And there are online records if you hunt hard enough for some locations
that go back far more than 8 years.

--

Ak'toh'di