

# question and answers about earthquake prediction

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.meteorology/2008-03/msg00029.html>

---

- *From:* ronalkarel <[ronald.karel@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ronald.karel@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 14 Mar 2008 17:26:54 -0700 (PDT)
- 

How come the traditional seismologists can make long term earthquake prediction without knowing the following answers;

1.- I believe that mostly new fault lines occur in lithosphere near existing fault lines... If it's not true just tell me please.. So if it is true, we all know that it is very difficult to identify this new fault lines in many countries.. Because it is very expensive, very difficult to realize this research.. And also if the fault lines occur under the oceans, it will be nearly impossible to identify them CORRECTLY...

2.- How come, many EARTH SCIENCES academicians who reject categoricly the idea of PRE EARTHQUAKE SIGNALS CAN EXIST, try to make earthquake predictions regarding THE PAST, THE HISTORY of the fault lines ?

I received some answers about those questions... <http://www.meteoquake.org>

.