

# Re: Microsoft Streets & Trips 2005 with GPS Locator vs. DeLorme Earthmate GPS Receiver with Street Atlas USA 2005

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.satellite-nav/2004-09/1265.html>

---

*From:* Jim Walker ([walkerjd1\\_at\\_worldnet.att.net](mailto:walkerjd1_at_worldnet.att.net))

*Date:* 09/21/04

Date: Tue, 21 Sep 2004 00:50:15 GMT

I don't agree at all that Street Atlas is a toy. It is a complete functioning GPS navigation program. I have about six thousand miles of successful navigation with it and I was really depending on it. I have used SA 7, 2003, and 2004. DeLorme isn't perfect, but if there is a better one out there I haven't found it.

--

Jim Walker  
Northern Virginia

<nospam@comcast.net> wrote in message  
news:q5gnk01kf3f81tnj9qbbprl2a8dokkokp3@4ax.com...

> On Thu, 16 Sep 2004 03:01:34 GMT, "J&D Schnoor"

> <jimdianes@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>

> >I have narrowed my choices of USB GPS devices to Microsoft Streets & Trips

> >with GPS Locator or Delorme Earthmate GPS receiver with Street Atlas USA

> >2005. Since Microsoft has just got into the GPS game, reviews seem hard to

> >come by. From what I have read so far, however, it seems as if the

> >Earthmate beats the Microsoft bundle hands down. Does anyone know of any

> >features that the Microsoft package has that the Earthmate doesn't have?

> >

>

> Well, the MS package requires a LOT less machine to run correctly, has

> a more "user friendly" interface, easier to read and more accurate

> maps, and the map trails are certainly better.

>

> The difference between the two packages you mentioned is that

> S&T doesn't try to be anything more than a good map with gps ability

> while the SA package tries to be everything to everyone without doing

> any of it very well. Neither one is perfect but if you want a good

> map, get the S&T and if you just want to play with it, get the SA.