

## Re: Sunspots hit new highs

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.satellite-nav/2004-10/1502.html>

---

**From:** RichA (*none\_at\_none.com*)

**Date:** 10/28/04

Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2004 15:25:55 -0400

On 28 Oct 2004 05:08:22 GMT, Uncle Bob <realonespam@bogusnet.net> wrote:

>jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote:

>> In sci.physics Sam Wormley <swormley1@mchsi.com> wrote:

>>

>>> Sunspots hit new highs (Oct 27)

>>> <http://physicsweb.org/article/news/8/10/16>

>>> The Sun is more active at present than it has been for over 8000 years according to a new method for determining the level of sunspot activity

>>> in the past. Sami Solanki of the Max Planck Institute in

>>> Katlenburg-Lindau and colleagues in Finland, Germany and Switzerland

>>> have developed a technique that relates the number of sunspots to the

>>> concentration of carbon-14 in tree rings. However, the team insists that

>>> this high level of solar activity is unlikely to be the main cause of

>>> global warming (Nature 431 1084).

>>

>>

>> Yeah, sure, it couldn't POSSIBLY be totally natural, uncontrollable, and untaxable; that doesn't get research grants.

>>

> You've applied for a grant to study links between sunspot activity

>and global warming? Please tell us about it.

> Thanks,

> Uncle Bob

Canada put up \$70,000,000 in grant money to study global warming. What was the catch? You had to be working on a project whose goal was to PROVE global warming was man-made. Otherwise, no money. Some kind of way to do science, huh?