

## Re: Sunspots hit new highs

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.satellite-nav/2004-10/1504.html>

---

**From:** OG ([owen\\_at\\_gwynnefamily.org.uk](mailto:owen_at_gwynnefamily.org.uk))

**Date:** 10/28/04

Date: Thu, 28 Oct 2004 21:45:21 +0100

"RichA" <none@none.com> wrote in message  
news:nrh2o0de8ibso8i5a4euqu2engakus032e@4ax.com...  
> On 28 Oct 2004 05:08:22 GMT, Uncle Bob <realonespam@bogusnet.net>  
> wrote:  
>  
> >jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote:  
> >> In sci.physics Sam Wormley <swormley1@mchsi.com> wrote:  
> >>> Sunspots hit new highs (Oct 27)  
> >>> <http://physicsweb.org/article/news/8/10/16>  
> >>> The Sun is more active at present than it has been for over  
8000 years  
> >>> according to a new method for determining the level of sunspot  
activity  
> >>> in the past. Sami Solanki of the Max Planck Institute in  
> >>> Katlenburg-Lindau and colleagues in Finland, Germany and  
Switzerland  
> >>> have developed a technique that relates the number of sunspots  
to the  
> >>> concentration of carbon-14 in tree rings. However, the team  
insists that  
> >>> this high level of solar activity is unlikely to be the main  
cause of  
> >>> global warming (Nature 431 1084).  
> >>  
> >>  
> >> Yeah, sure, it couldn't POSSIBLY be totally natural,  
uncontrollable, and  
> >> untaxable; that doesn't get research grants.  
> >>  
> > You've applied for a grant to study links between sunspot activity  
> >and global warming? Please tell us about it.  
> > Thanks,  
> > Uncle Bob  
>  
> Canada put up \$70,000,000 in grant money to study global warming.  
> What was the catch? You had to be working on a project whose goal

sci.geo.satellite-nav: Re: Sunspots hit new highs

- > *was to PROVE global warming was man-made. Otherwise, no money.*
- > *Some kind of way to do science, huh?*

You got a reference for this claim?