

Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.satellite-nav/2005-10/msg00675.html>

- *From:* ronleenospam@xxxxxxxxxx (Ron Lee)
 - *Date:* Sun, 23 Oct 2005 16:48:29 GMT
-

Filip Biljecki <filip.biljecki.xy@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>I don't get it.
>Galileo was announced as a much better system than GPS. We are always
>looking for something better, aren't we?

Explain to me why Galileo alone will be better for you. Also indicate if you will pay for some of the proposed Galileo features that are fee-based.

Finally, using that information, if you had to choose between GPS and Galileo, which would you pick and why.

When evaluating against GPS, use a modernized GPS with two additional civil frequencies...both of which are free (total of three).

Ron Lee

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch***
 - ◇ *From:* Filip Biljecki
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch***
 - ◇ *From:* Sam Wormley
 - ◆ ***Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch***
 - ◇ *From:* Dennis Pogson
 - ◆ ***Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch***
 - ◇ *From:* Filip Biljecki
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Garmin VISTA C, NMEA?***
- Next by Date: ***Magellan Explorist 400-600 Low Temp***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch***
- Next by thread: ***Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***

Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch

◆ *Thread*