

# Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.satellite-nav/2005-10/msg00682.html>

---

- *From:* Filip Biljecki <[filip.biljecki\\_noSPAM@xxxxxxx](mailto:filip.biljecki_noSPAM@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 23 Oct 2005 21:02:41 +0200
- 

Ron Lee wrote:

Filip Biljecki <[filip.biljecki.xy@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:filip.biljecki.xy@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

I don't get it.  
Galileo was announced as a much better system than  
GPS. We are always looking for something better,  
aren't we?

Explain to me who Galileo alone will be better for you. Also indicate  
if you will pay for some of the proposed Galileo features that are  
fee-based.

Finally, using that information, if you had to choose between GPS and  
Galileo, which would you pick and why.

When evaluating against GPS, use a modernized GPS with two additional  
civil frequencies...both of which are free (total of three).

Ron Lee

Few years ago, Galileo was announced in Europe as a revolution. In that  
time I was unable to find more information about it, but I remember that  
the pluses for it were much better signal, higher accuracy and smaller  
and cheaper devices. For the last few years I didn't find much about it  
so I am not sure am I correct. The last news I remember was the crash of

Re: EU satellite project Galileo faces fund crunch

ArianneV i French Guayana- so you can imagine how much passed from it.

Sorry if I am wrong...

But I think that the U.S. did a big propaganda against it because they're concerned about it. But thumbs up for both!

.