

Re: more intransitive verb business (Jim Breen)

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.lang.japan/2005-04/msg00371.html>

- *From:* jwb@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Mon, 11 Apr 2005 01:22:56 GMT
-

Curt Fischer <tentrillion@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> dixit:
>This post is for people interested in discussing the accuracy of the
>list at http://www.csse.monash.edu.au/~jwb/ti_list.html . Perhaps
>people like Jim Breen. Or others.

Good to see someone reacting to that list.

>Some of the verbs listed as intransitive still take direct objects
>marked with ' . It might be misleading to call them intransitive,
>although they (with one possible exception) all have "more transitive"
>relatives that it makes sense to pair them with. Examples, with
>sentences from the Tanaka corpus and/or Google:

> K<
>Snu' KcfOCE

You might notice that both Q< and K< are described as "vt".
For some reason Takasugi put the latter into the Intransitive column.
(See: <http://www.sf.airnet.ne.jp/~ts/japanese/message/jpnEUwEoW7XEUhMPq0e.html>)

>Minor quibble: why does the intransitive column switch from being on the
>right to the left, and then go back again?

That's the way Takasugi did the original. It irritates me, and I
may switch them around – if I can find the time and energy.

—
Jim Breen <http://www.csse.monash.edu.au/~jwb/>
Computer Science & Software Engineering,
Monash University, VIC 3800, Australia
jwb@csse.monash.edu.au

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [**Re: more intransitive verb business \(Jim Breen\)**](#)
◇ *From:* Curt Fischer

Re: more intransitive verb business (Jim Breen)

- **References:**

- ◆ ***more intransitive verb business (Jim Breen)***

- ◆ *From:* Curt Fischer

- Prev by Date: ***Re: oya-ware?***

- Next by Date: ***Re: äx g/W,F***

- Previous by thread: ***Re: more intransitive verb business (Jim Breen)***

- Next by thread: ***Re: more intransitive verb business (Jim Breen)***

- Index(es):

- ◆ ***Date***

- ◆ ***Thread***