

Re: Most obscure vocabulary award.

Re: Most obscure vocabulary award.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang.japan/2005-10/msg00379.html>

- *From:* "Paul Blay" <ask_me_or_get_spam_trapped@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 10 Oct 2005 02:40:54 +0100
-

"Marc Adler" <marc.adler@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote ...

Paul Blay wrote:

ÃÍr nF%"W†O (n,adj-na?) dark indigo

-> adj-no

f Ñ doesn't have ÃÍ (nF%) or ÃÍ (SBD),
' - has SBD only.

This might be productive, in an obscure way.
ÃRr ÃYr etc.

ÃRr is more productive (23,600 Yahoo hits)

nF[DW†O dark blue

and ÃYr is (somewhat) more obscure (379, Japanese only)

nFhFW†O(?) dark orange(?)

In fact ÃYr 'beat' the search engine in that there are no matches for
nFhFW†O + ÃYr although there are

for hFW†O + Yr

Of course productive in the /language/ sense means something like "being
able to be used with other (new) words"

doesn't it? Like -ing is productive because Google -> Googling

.