

Re: ^U{DÀ

Re: ^U{DÀ

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang.japan/2007-01/msg00717.html>

---

- *From:* Phil Yff <[phil.yff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:phil.yff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 11 Jan 2007 11:36:04 -0500
- 

On 11 Jan 2007 10:30:59 +0000 (GMT), Peter Maydell wrote:

dareka <[dareka@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dareka@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Paul Blay wrote:

I think it is true, to first approximation, that at the present the only "hold-out" against UTF-8 encoded newsgroup posts is in the client-end newsreader software.

OK, then explain it to the RFC people who seem not to recommend using 8-bit code on the Internet mail and the like

News Is Not Mail. (unencoded) 8-bit in mail is not recommended because there are known to be problems in some widely deployed mail servers which will mangle your mail. News servers, on the other hand, are (almost?) all 8-bit clean. As Paul says, the news software with problems is almost always the client.

Agree that news is not mail but do not agree with the recalcitrance of the e-mail community. As I understand it the reservations about 8-bit code relate only to older platforms and e-mail programs. The Internet Mail Consortium recommends that e-mail programs have the capability to create and read UTF-8 mail. The only major English language e-mail program that does not have this capability built-in is Eudora. With Eudora, you can acquire the capability by using a plug-in. Eudora has announced that their next major upgrade will incorporate UTF-8 capabilities.

Phil Yff

.

Re: ^U{DÀ