

Re: ^U{DÀ

# Re: ^U{DÀ

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang.japan/2007-01/msg00770.html>

---

- *From:* Phil Yff <[phil.yff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:phil.yff@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 12 Jan 2007 12:21:23 -0500
- 

On 11 Jan 2007 19:50:28 -0800, [dareka@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dareka@xxxxxxxxxx) wrote:

Phil Yff wrote:

On 10 Jan 2007 19:55:57 -0800, [dareka@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dareka@xxxxxxxxxx) wrote:

Phil Yff wrote:

On Wed, 10 Jan 2007 00:00:46 +0900,  
[dareka](mailto:dareka) wrote:

My  
guess  
2:  
Mac  
sucks,  
If  
understand  
correctly,  
UTF8  
encoded  
in  
base64  
or  
other  
7bit  
encoding  
is  
"recommended"  
on  
the  
Internet

Nobody  
recommends

Re: ^U{DÀ

Re: ^U{DÀ

base64 for  
text  
newsgroups,  
lots of  
people point  
out that it  
sucks.

Then simply UTF8 is not  
supposed to be used. It is a  
8-bit  
encoding. And my first  
guess is available.

UTF-8 is one of the most versatile encoding  
schemes for people that want to  
mix several languages in a document.

Then why people who want to send the mixed languages text  
don't use  
UTF-7 instead of UTF-8 if they don't bother to  
decode/encode it in  
7bit encoding as base64? Or ISO-2022?  
Aside from the fundamental problems Unicode has, I really  
don't  
understand those pepole's arrogant attitude.

UTF-8 is more capable and in wider use than UTF-7. In spite of some  
difficulties, it is the best existing standard to globalize e-mail and  
Usenet. In other words, any number of languages in a single document that  
can be read on any moderately current platform.

ISO-2022 is great for Japanese and Japanese-English documents but does  
not  
support multi-lingual documents.

ISO-2022 ` IS-2022-JP

I'm not sure that it's even ISO-2022 *f* ISO-2022-JP or the ISO-2022-JP  
what we usually see is actually is "correct" ISO-2022-JP.

By the way, if you are speaking about multi-lingual encoding.

ISO-2022-JP-2 or 3 or the like supports more "langauages" if a support  
means the characters the languages have.

Agreed ISO-2022 ` IS-2022-JP. In the short test I conducted, I was able to  
get up to three languages with different encoding schemes in my post using

Re: ^U{DÀ

Re: ^U{DÀ

ISO–2022–JP. Even with extensions, there is still a limit to what you can do with it. It does not purport to be a global encoding scheme. In contrast, with UTF–8, you can include dozens of languages in a single document.

Phil Yff

.