

sci.lang: Re: Belgian?

Re: Belgian?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2004-07/1841.html>

From: Peter T. Daniels (grammatim_at_worldnet.att.net)

Date: 07/17/04

Date: Sat, 17 Jul 2004 00:47:53 GMT

Jim Heckman wrote:

>
> *On 16-Jul-2004, "Peter T. Daniels" <grammatim@worldnet.att.net>*
> *wrote in message <40F8565A.CB@worldnet.att.net>:*
>
>> *US-centric. I've lived in the two principal cities and visited in quite*
>> *a few others, as well as several in Canada, and have never encountered*
>> *this European system. [...]*
>
> *Ouch. It's been a while now since Chicago was one of the two*
> *principal US cities, but I suppose it might still have been when*
> *you were there. (I know it's come up before, but I don't*
> *remember the dates of your tenure offhand.)*

1972-97.

L.A. became the Second City only in the 1990 census, but by the 2000 census Chicago had regained the title.

(ObSyntax: I typed "in the 2000 census," but that wouldn't cohere with the past perfect; with "in" it has to be "Chicago regained.")

--

Peter T. Daniels

grammatim@att.net