

sci.lang: Re: have got

## Re: have got

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2004-07/2904.html>

---

**From:** Brian M. Scott ([b.scott\\_at\\_csuo.hio.edu](mailto:b.scott_at_csuo.hio.edu))

**Date:** 07/24/04

Date: Sat, 24 Jul 2004 07:03:08 -0400

On 24 Jul 2004 05:27:45 -0500 endipatterson  
<[endipatterson@yahoo-dot-com.no-spam.invalid](mailto:endipatterson@yahoo-dot-com.no-spam.invalid)> wrote in  
<[news:410239a1\\$1\\_1@127.0.0.1](mailto:news:410239a1$1_1@127.0.0.1)> in sci.lang:

> *It would seem that "got" and "gotten" still exist side by side in US  
> English, Brian, although "got" has a very specific use.*

What on earth is your point? Of course they do --- but not  
as past participles of <to get>, as they once did.

[...]

Brian