

Re: A China–Sumer connection

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2005–03/0870.html>

From: Comm (tjsrno_at_spampost.com)

Date: 03/06/05

Date: Sun, 06 Mar 2005 01:38:09 GMT

"Peter T. Daniels" <grammatim@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:422A2F6B.147@worldnet.att.net...

> *Comm wrote:*

>

>> *Well, you do know that Genesis 1 in the Old Testament was written by a
>> completely different person than the person who wrote Genesis 2, right?*

>> *In*

>> *Theology, the Gen 1 writer was an Elohist; the Gen 2 writer was a
>> Jehovist. It was not written in 5000 BC, and tho it's based also on oral
>> stories of the Hebrews as their religious thoughts adapted to situations,
>> changed, even merged with other things, it was written MANY years after
>> the*

>> *facts (?). ? because Egyptians did keep records and write their history
>> down. There is not much mention of anything to account for the epic
>> Exodus.*

>> *Egyptians kicked out MANY "hapiru" (strangers) – not just the Hebrews.*

>> *It*

>> *was also no big deal – almost a footnote in their own history. The things
>> that the epic Exodus claims happened, did not happen. People tend to
>> ignore*

>> *the Egyptian reality and keep harping on the Hebrew fantasy. Nothing
>> wrong*

>> *with writing fantasy – it's religion (heh) – but facts are facts and some
>> people notice which group of facts are accepted, which ones ignored. I
>> also*

>> *notice that the place is called Egypt when it's really supposed to be
>> Khem!*

>>

>> *Take ancient Attic Greek. Anyone that knows that language, how to write
>> it,*

>> *and so forth, would easily be able to write a document in that language
>> long*

>> *after it's in disuse. I don't see why anyone would do that, tho. It is
>> generally accepted that no one did this. – BUT – Even if the oral
>> history*

>> *of eg the Hebrews starts around 5000 BC? (I'm not sure when the Bible
>> claims its dates) – it's a fact that the actual OT was probably written*

sci.lang: Re: A China–Sumer connection

>> around 1000 BC or maybe a bit longer ago than that. It is accepted,
>> however, that the oral stories survived for all those thousands of years.
>> Just looking at the NT, however, you can clearly see how WRITTEN text can
>> drastically change due to translations and rewrites. It can change so
>> much
>> that the text no longer even means what it was intended to mean. Prime
>> example of that (I should have written down chapter and verse, but
>> didn't")
>> where Jesus says in the 3rd century Greek version "If you have logic, you
>> can not sin (sin means internal error!)." The translation is "if you
>> have
>> Jesus, your flesh will die."
>
> Sigh. You mean well, but you're obviously half-remembering a whole bunch
> of totally unrelated things you've heard somewhere or other about the
> text of the Bible.

No, I mean what I said – whether these things are related or not. I no longer care about your inability to read. You are not a person. The ***person I wrote it to*** can feel free to read it and understand what I meant, or not.

>
>> I was told by Dr. Inderjit Thind (he did not write a book about it, he
>> TOLD
>> me this – I used to work with him and for him) that the Puranas actually
>> were older than the Vedas – but that the originals were destroyed in a
>> local
>> flood about 6000 BC and then reconstructed, rewritten.
>
> That is good Indian chauvinism, but it is linguistically impossible.
> --
> Peter T. Daniels grammatim@att.net