

## Re: Related languages (Re: A China–Sumer connection)

*Source:* <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2005–03/2656.html>

---

*From:* John Atkinson ([johnacko\\_at\\_bigpond.com](mailto:johnacko_at_bigpond.com))

*Date:* 03/21/05

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 2005 03:05:33 GMT

OK, you must have done something, cause replies to you now behave fine. (LSD still not, but that doesn't surprise me, he uses rather unconventional settings anyway). I did try changing some of my "options", but they made no difference, so I changed them back

It'll be interesting to see if Comm still has this trouble when replying to you.

BTW, I noticed that it also used to happen when I replied to a post from someone else which in turn was a reply to you. (That is, their newsreader put in the correct '>'s in front of your message, but mine didn't do so in front of theirs -- even though my replies to other posts of theirs were fine). Which would seem to imply that you were resetting some parameter which didn't effect their reader, but remained reset when it hit mine. (Don't know if this always happened.)

Anyway, all's fine now (as far as my replies to you are concerned anyway), so guess we'll never know what was going on. Like you say, something with the way Outlook 6.x reacts to something your reader (and no others) (used to) send out.

John.

"António Marques" <[m.ap@sapo.pt](mailto:m.ap@sapo.pt)> wrote...

> *John Atkinson wrote:*

>

> >> *Hmm. The same thing happens to me. Previously, I've gone through*

> >> *adding extra ">"s whenever I've answered your posts. (This time I*

> >> *haven't, and you can see the mess that eventuates.) As far as I've*

> >> *noticed, you and LSD are the only people whose posts do this to me.*

Or

> >> *maybe they're the only ones that do it that I've ever bothered to*

reply

> >> *to.*

>

> *I see both you and Comm use Outlook 6.x. I don't know whether it's some*

> *Outlook bug – it has got that 'remove extra line breaks' option somewhere*

> *which may have something to do with it.*

I can't find this option (and if it is the cause of the failure to insert '>'s, it's certainly a strange bug!)

> >> *Whose newsreader is to blame is unclear, but if it's mine, I'd be > >> pleased to be told how to "fix" it. And also why for 99% of the people*

> >> *I reply to the thing works fine.*

>

> *I've tried turning off MIME quoted printable since I always avoid non-ASCII,*

> *though I won't put much faith in the result.*

I've always had this turned off

> *Has it always been like that, or was it ever different?*

With LSD, for a long time. With you, ever since I started replying to you (a few weeks).

> *Please notice that if a newsreader manages to show someone's text and then*

> *fails to quote it, that newsreader, in principle, is to blame.*

However, I'd

> *be more than willing to do what I can at this end of the line – but maybe*

> *there's nothing I can do.*

>

> *This one: tried turning MIMEQP off.*

> --

> *António Marques*

>

> *I had an apple at lunch. I really wanted a pear. That*

> *apple could have used a little more work.*

> -- ryan, osnews.com