

Re: Phonemes

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2005-06/msg00929.html>

- *From:* "David Wright Sr." <dwrightsr@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 20 Jun 2005 14:36:28 +0000 (UTC)
-

"John Atkinson" <johnacko@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in [news:q4Ate.24402\\$F7.24099@news-server.bigpond.net.au](mailto:news:q4Ate.24402$F7.24099@news-server.bigpond.net.au):

Thanks for your response. I didn't choose the languages exemplified. Those were given in an earlier response to my general question.

(snip)

- > So, the answer to your question seems to be: Yes, I think they would.
- >
- > Physiologically, at any rate. Neurologically, who knows whether the
- > wiring is complex enough to handle so many?
- >

Can you clarify a little more on this? I'm not sure how physiologically vs. neurologically would be different?

David W.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: Phonemes**
 - ◇ *From:* John Atkinson
- *References:*
 - ◆ **Phonemes**
 - ◇ *From:* David Wright Sr.
 - ◆ **Re: Phonemes**
 - ◇ *From:* Peter T. Daniels
 - ◆ **Re: Phonemes**
 - ◇ *From:* Ruud Harmsen
 - ◆ **Re: Phonemes**
 - ◇ *From:* John Atkinson
 - ◆ **Re: Phonemes**
 - ◇ *From:* David Wright Sr.
 - ◆ **Re: Phonemes**
 - ◇ *From:* John Atkinson

Re: Phonemes

- Prev by Date: [*Re: Phonemes*](#)
- Next by Date: [*Re: Is language development evolutionary, or designed by the culture?*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: Phonemes*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: Phonemes*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)