

Re: Letters with **three** cases?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2005-07/msg00005.html>

- *From:* "Peter T. Daniels" <grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 01 Jul 2005 01:42:05 GMT
-

Nigel Greenwood wrote:

>
> Peter T. Daniels wrote:
>
>>
>> Perhaps because it turned out that the victims of ita never learned to
>> spell what they called TO (traditional orthography).
>
> Could you give us some references to this outcome, please? I don't
> doubt what you say: it's just that I'm not familiar with the follow-up
> studies.

Purely anecdotal. Either there were no studies, or else they were suppressed; but the few ita victims I have met admit that they can't spell.

—
Peter T. Daniels grammatim@xxxxxxx

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Letters with **three** cases?**](#)
 ◇ *From:* Seán O'Leathlóbhair
 - *References:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Letters with **three** cases?**](#)
 ◇ *From:* Nigel Greenwood
 - Prev by Date: [**Re: Letters with **three** cases?**](#)
 - Next by Date: [*the enthusiastic publicist*](#)
 - Previous by thread: [**Re: Letters with **three** cases?**](#)
 - Next by thread: [**Re: Letters with **three** cases?**](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)