

Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2005-12/msg00178.html>

- *From:* Joachim Pense <spam-collector@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 3 Dec 2005 12:27:36 +0100
-

Am 3 Dec 2005 01:52:38 -0800 schrieb leuwarden@xxxxxxxxxx:

>
> most people could learn how to *read* a foreign language (passive
> mastery).
>

Of course, Chinese, Japanese, Sumerian make sort of a difference.

> to be able to speak a foreign language you have to acquire reflexes,
> which may depend on your age and very much on your inclination and
> ability and a little but not much on your IQ.

I think this also holds for listening. Formal language like news is of course much easier than e.g. movie dialogue.

So what would be a good order? Read, listen to news, write, speak?

Joachim

.

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ ***Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?***
 ◇ *From:* leuwarden
- ◆ ***Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?***
 ◇ *From:* Lee Sau Dan
- ◆ ***Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?***
 ◇ *From:* Ruud Harmsen

- *References:*

- ◆ ***Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?***
 ◇ *From:* ck
- ◆ ***Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?***
 ◇ *From:* leuwarden

Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?

- Prev by Date: [*Re: So it is true...*](#)
- Next by Date: [*Re: Be and Have in Hebrew, and ACC case*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)