

Re: Natural Language Praised

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2005-12/msg00179.html>

- *From:* Joachim Pense <spam-collector@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 3 Dec 2005 12:33:37 +0100
-

Am 3 Dec 2005 01:58:12 -0800 schrieb leuwarden@xxxxxxxxxx:

> Ron Hardin wrote:
>> Somebody has this as their .sig
>>
>> "Natural language has evolved to the present point in a way optimally to
>> meet the needs for two-way human communication." -- Vincent E. Guiliano,
>> "In Defense of Natural Language," 1972
>
> "evolved" : do you mean to say you have seen progress? where?
>
> many languages have died out, Latin for instance; do you think it was
> of a lesser quality?

What makes you think Latin died out?

Joachim
.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Natural Language Praised**](#)
◇ *From:* leuwarden
- *References:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Natural Language Praised**](#)
◇ *From:* leuwarden
- Prev by Date: [**Re: Be and Have in Hebrew, and ACC case**](#)
- Next by Date: [**Re: Is there an optimal sequence for language acquisition?**](#)
- Previous by thread: [**Re: Natural Language Praised**](#)
- Next by thread: [**Re: Natural Language Praised**](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [**Date**](#)
 - ◆ [**Thread**](#)