

Re: Your first "linguistic" memory

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2006-01/msg01559.html>

- *From:* Ruud Harmsen <realemailseesite13@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 14 Jan 2006 15:04:21 +0100
-

Sat, 14 Jan 2006 13:32:44 GMT: "Peter T. Daniels"
<grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>: in sci.lang:

>> So what do you want? You asked for my definition, I give it, there is
>> not much wrong with it, and then the fact that I have given it is the
>> problem? What kind of discussion style is this?
>
>The "problem" in your "definition" was, as I have said three times now,
>the succeeding paragraph(s), which bore no interpretation at all.
>
>> >and the difference between "a meaning" and "a morpheme" is
>> >profoud in _other_ parts of linguistics.
>>
>> Yes? So? What does that have to do with it?
>
>To use one instead of the other betokens profound confusion.

Which is certainly what is present here with me now. I haven't the slightest idea what you are talking about. But never mind. Communication with the lesser knowledgeable is not your fort, that is no surprise.

--
Ruud Harmsen – <http://rudhar.com>

-
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Your first "linguistic" memory***
◇ *From:* Ruud Harmsen
 - ◆ ***Re: Your first "linguistic" memory***
◇ *From:* Peter T. Daniels
 - ◆ ***Re: Your first "linguistic" memory***
◇ *From:* Ruud Harmsen
 - ◆ ***Re: Your first "linguistic" memory***
◇ *From:* Peter T. Daniels
 - ◆ ***Re: Your first "linguis***