

Re: /S/ /Z/ /tS/ etc.

Re: /S/ /Z/ /tS/ etc.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2006-09/msg00035.html>

- *From:* "Peter T. Daniels" <grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 1 Sep 2006 04:47:37 -0700
-

Ruud Harmsen wrote:

1 Sep 2006 04:31:07 -0700: bogus61847688@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx: in sci.lang:

Non-Brazilian Portuguese is much more interesting (and unharsh, to my liking) in this respect:
<http://www.rudhar.com/foneport/en/not2port.htm#Note16d-Essiness>

It might sound better partly because the girls short-circuit your brain.

That certainly is a factor, yes. Note though that some of my [ex|s]amples are mail, pardon me, male. And that I keep disliking American creaky voice English, no matter how beautiful the speaksters are.

[All errors against the English language in the above are intentional]

Your claim about "American creaky voice English" was exposed as a lie months ago. Why do you repeat it?

.