

Re: etymology of name

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2006-10/msg01981.html>

- *From:* "Dusan Vukotic" <dusan.vukotic@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 29 Oct 2006 01:19:30 -0800
-

Brian M. Scott wrote:

On 28 Oct 2006 15:14:50 -0700, Dusan Vukotic
<dusan.vukotic@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
<<news:1162073689.926992.76190@xx>>
in sci.lang:

Dusan Vukotic wrote:

Christopher Culver wrote:

[...]

Wow. Now that Mr Vukotic's mental illness
is apparent,
if only this post could be somehow kept
posted for all
to see, so that no one will in future waste
time in
engaging in discussion with him.

Do you really think that Brian is so incapacitated that
he needs your help?

Are you kowtowing to your superiors all the time?

In all likelihood Christopher knows more about the subject
than I do, and it's a virtual certainty that he's had more
formal training in it.

Re: etymology of name

There will always be people who take every word from the bible literally and there will always be people who think it is all fictitious. There will always be people who have the impulse to turn everything they can to mockery and sarcasam. Every member of this list is posting some of his thinkings, expecting either positive or negative ratings from the other participants. Positive reaction is the best we can expect, but the negative one is also welcome. Of course, no one likes to be ridiculed or treated in a disrespectful manner. I think there is enough space on this forum for everyone. I would have never said anything to Brian if he had not started to torment me. I came here to exchange knowledge and a possible new ideas and to learn from those who know more than me.

No, you didn't. You've demonstrated both here and on Cybalist that you are convinced that the experts -- who certainly know more than you do -- are wrong. You are certainly free to display your ignorance here: sci.lang is, thank goodness, an unmoderated group. And those who recognize that your notions of historical linguistics are unscientific garbage are equally free to point this out.

I have no intention neither to infringe anybody's privacy nor to generate any kind of undesired discussion.

Your intentions are beside the point; when you answer an innocent question with garbage, someone is probably going to point out that it's garbage, if only to protect the innocent. You can minimize the risk by confining your conversational partners to the other known loons.

"In all likelihood Christopher knows more about the subject than I do, and it's a virtual certainty that he's had more formal training in it."

Formal training? The dog-like one, I suppose.

"someone is probably going to point out that it's garbage"

A perfect job for BRAIN THE SMELLY GARBAGEMAN!

ps

In Serbian 'smeli' means 'courageous' ;-)

.

Re: etymology of name