

## Re: The Origins of Zürich...

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-01/msg00064.html>

---

- *From:* "Brian M. Scott" <b.scott@xxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Mon, 1 Jan 2007 21:59:33 -0500
- 

On Tue, 02 Jan 2007 01:00:04 GMT, Heidi Graw  
<hgraw@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in  
<o8imh.109587\$rv4.45415@edtnps90">news:o8imh.109587\$rv4.45415@edtnps90"> in sci.lang:

[...]

Brian, I found out what richi means in OG and MG.

I'm perfectly well aware of OHG <rihhi> and MHG <riche>. I know where they came from, and I'm familiar with their cognates in other languages. I also know that they have nothing to do with the name <Zürich>. I rather expected that you would fall into that trap, however, and I have no hope whatsoever of extricating you from it. That would require at least minimal cooperation on your part, not to mention something besides mindless ego between your ears.

It's reich...realm.

Of course.

Ziu-richi, Ziu's Realm.

No. Ignoring for a moment the fact that the name obviously derives from Roman <Toricum>, the fact is that the OHG for 'Ziu's realm' would have been something like <Zies rihhi>, \*not\* <Ziu-richi>.

A place where Ziu rules over the land. Ziurichi, Zürich.  
It is a name derived from the German Language Tree.

## Re: The Origins of Zürich...

The statement is meaningless as it stands.

It is not related to the Celtic or Latin Languages.

On the contrary, it is a borrowing of a Latin rendering of what was most likely a Celtic place-name.

You know, it bespeaks a truly remarkable stupidity on your part that you fail to realize how pig-ignorant you are of the things about which you insist on babbling even after you encounter people who \*do\* know what they're talking about. Why the hell do you bother to ask us questions? You obviously have no interest in the answers unless they support your asinine fantasies, and you \*certainly\* have no interest in learning any of the linguistics and history that would explain the answers. Indeed, so far as I can tell, you don't understand the difference between a science (in the sense of a connected body of knowledge) and a mishmash of isolated tidbits of data. Hell, you don't even recognize that there \*is\* a difference.

You probably can't be held accountable for your stupidity; your wilful ignorance is another matter, however, as is the groundless (and therefore offensive) arrogance with which you 'correct' those who actually \*do\* have some understanding of the subject. What strange mental perversion allows you to think that an ignorant dolt like you can 'correct' the fruits of real scholarship?

.