

Re: SUR, HOR,DEL,GON,UM...

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-02/msg01579.html>

- *From:* "Heidi Graw" <hgraw@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 20 Feb 2007 16:30:59 GMT
-

"Duaan Vukoti " <dusan.vukotic@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1171968176.567565.46280@xx

(snip)

Dusan wrote;

Ten years ago I wrote a book entitled "The Forefather of the European Man". Of course, this book was not based on the scientific grounds and I stressed it in the book's preface, by telling: "this is a free flight of the White Eagle".

The facts is, many of the things I concluded there were wrong, but a few "innovations" were very inspiring and fruitful. The most important one was the idea that the human speech started from the sun divinity and was developed later in accordance with the main characteristics of the sun (irradiation, warmth, fire, round form etc.).

In the same book, I reasoned that one of the main self-generating wells of speech was the sun-god Sur (Skt. Surya, Russian Zarya; Sargon – the king of Akkad, Sirius, Osiris).

Later on, I added the other sun god, Hor (Egyptian Horus, Slavic Hors) and it took me long before I understood that Sur and Hor were the same gods, just differently pronounced (Xur).

In reality, the famous linguistic division to satem and centum languages was the result of Sur-Hor (or Hor-Sur) rivalry. In the course of my farther studies I found out a certain correspondence and

Re: SUR, HOR,DEL,GON,UM...

regular correlativity among Sur, Hor and the Babylonian god Bel (Semitic Baal) and I included that god in my first Surbel–Horbel "human speech formula".

It took me another few years to understand that my formula was deficient because it missed the magic word that enables an omnipotent animation and comprehensive movement of spiritual and material objects. Therefore, I added the syllable GON as a "dues ex machina", the main but invisible divine actor who solves the problem of the continuing motion and evolution of language.

It is the way in which I "discovered" XUR–BEL–GON formula, improved, decorated and self–propelled by human or divine power of mind (UM).

Ah, now I understand where you're coming from. For you, the words are centred around deities or spirits. Ancient man would have indeed be in wonder of the world. Building a vocabulary around and for these deities and spirits would have been part of the language creation process.

But now, let's think of the practicalities of life...survival. The day to day activities of survival would have pre–occupied the minds of very ancient people. By the time they evolved to imagine deity, they would have already had a collection of words to use.

The words preceding deity would have been words that warned of dangers, or offered reassurance. They would have also had words to indicate aggression and/or affection. They would have also had a vocabulary about food, rudimentary tools/weapons (sticks and stones), and words about shelter.

Consider our evolution from apes. Chimpanzees do not worship deity, but they do have a chimpanzee language that helps them survive in that wilderness.

I believe human language evolved much in the same way...first words centred around survival with a later development of words for deity and spirits.

When I look at what you and Franz are doing, I get the impression that yours goes further back in time than Franz with his Magdalenian.

As for me, it seems I trying to go back much further in time than either of you... back to the earliest of early humans from whom we've inherited language. This of course, requires the study of "monkey–talk" which quite a few scientists are in fact doing.

Re: SUR, HOR,DEL,GON,UM...

Re: SUR, HOR,DEL,GON,UM...

Their works do lend insights into language
and I think these scientific works need to
be kept in mind.

Take care,
Heidi

.