

Re: Anyone conversant in Tagalog here?

## Re: Anyone conversant in Tagalog here?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-04/msg00531.html>

---

- *From:* [phoglund@xxxxxx](mailto:phoglund@xxxxxx)
  - *Date:* 16 Apr 2007 03:26:48 -0700
- 

Paul J Kriha wrote:

<phoglund@xxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:1176671821.723831.37390@xx](mailto:news:1176671821.723831.37390@xx)

On Apr 15, 8:12 pm, "Brian M. Scott" <b.sc...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 15 Apr 2007 05:25:26 -0700, <phogl...@xxxxxx> wrote  
in  
<[news:1176639926.737220.305950@xx](mailto:news:1176639926.737220.305950@xx)>  
in sci.lang:

On Apr 15, 2:35 am, "Peter T. Daniels"  
<gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

[...]

The `_language_` is not more  
important (except to those  
interested in Celtic  
languages and  
Indo-European  
generally), but a massive  
authoritative reference  
grammar of an insufficiently  
familiar classical language  
is considerably more  
valuable than the latest  
larn-  
yerself-Irish book.

Your values are not universal, not even in  
linguistics.  
The orthography "larn-yerself-Irish"  
suggests a racist  
attitude towards the Irish by the way.

Re: Anyone conversant in Tagalog here?

Don't be silly; it merely suggests a dismissive attitude towards the old blue and yellow peril and their kin.

As regards pure scholarly interest, "Learning Irish" (first published sometime in the eighties)

1980.

Yes, that seems to be the publishing year of the editio princeps, now that I could check it in my own copy. I bought my first copy back in 1987.

is not "the latest fad" in any sense, but in itself a classical (sic!)

Wrong word: you need 'classic'.

Who cares, it's just English. :)

Your willful misuse of English words demonstrates a nasty racist attitude towards all English speakers.

English has long ago ceased to belong to native speakers alone. It's us – the second-language speakers – who will set the standards from now on, not them. We outnumber them.

.