

Re: Armenian, Sumerian, Burushaski, and Turkic languages

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-05/msg00842.html>

- *From:* phoglund@xxxxxx
 - *Date:* 29 May 2007 03:09:19 -0700
-

On 28 touko, 18:33, "Peter T. Daniels" <gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On May 28, 11:11 am, Darkstar <darkstar...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

First of all, I'll have to thank you (and Peter T. Daniels) for indicating the importance of finding regular changes. My only objection is that you seem to be setting the standards too high – no regular correspondences have ever been found within some of the well-established (?) families, like Afro-Asiatic, for instance.

Oh, fer cryin' out loud. The basic handbook on Afroasiatic, chock-full of correspondence sets, was written by your own eminent countryman, I. M. Diakonoff. (1st ed., *Semitio-Hamitic*; 2nd ed., *Afrasian*).

Not to mention that if there ever was a linguistic family where even the most benighted amateur should be able to establish at least some of those correspondence sets by himself, it is the Afro-Asiatic one.

.