

Re: Armenian, Sumerian, Burushaski, and Turkic languages

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-06/msg01058.html>

- *From:* Du?an Vukoti <dusan.vukotic@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 18 Jun 2007 19:28:11 -0000
-

On Jun 18, 9:07 pm, Du?an Vukoti <dusan.vuko...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jun 18, 7:02 pm, Nathan Sanders <nsand...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

In article <1182164242.162880.262...@xx>, Du?an Vukoti <dusan.vuko...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

It means that neither Sanders nor any other teacher has the exclusive right (doesn't matter if it was "approved" by his PhD or school authorities) to banish opinions different from the current (established) doctrine.

Who has advocated doing any such thing?

Nathan

--
Nathan Sanders
Linguistics Program
Williams College <http://wso.williams.edu/~nsanders/>

You have!

Re: Armenian, Sumerian, Burushaski, and Turkic languages

What do your students say if they look how their professor fails in sci.lang and see all your invectives that speak for a lack of arguments?

Franz asked (above) an appropriate question ...and see below what your answer was:

"They would rightly applaud me for calling you out for being a lazy, dishonest egoist. Your pernicious brand of anti-science kookery is a demon to be exorcised from academia. Your Magdalenian is no more scientific than astrology, and it deserves the same ridicule."

Of course, there are the facilitating circumstances in your case –

- 1) your evident immaturity
- 2) the negative influence of your idol – Denials! – whom you've been parroting for a long time.

DV

.