

# Re: Armenian, Sumerian, Burushaski, and Turkic languages

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-06/msg01343.html>

---

- *From:* Nathan Sanders <[nsanders@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nsanders@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 21 Jun 2007 11:31:55 -0400
- 

In article <1182257523.938408.76780@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, Darkstar <[darkstar100@xxxxxxxxx](mailto:darkstar100@xxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jun 19, 4:12 am, Nathan Sanders <[nsand...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nsand...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

In article <1182210517.034058.145...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,

Darkstar <[darkstar...@xxxxxxxxx](mailto:darkstar...@xxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

He's just a liar at best

Provide proof or retract your slander. What have I lied about?

No one can "prove" any of that.

If you can't prove that someone is a liar when called to do so, you shouldn't go around saying they are one! It's not very gentlemanly.

Again, you're just trying to lie there's some kind of formalistic proof in reference to real world phenomena.

Existence proofs are certainly real enough. If I find a language with OVS word order, and I have proven that such a language is possible.

The only proof is common sense.