

Re: Dust-sucker [Was: Re: Armenian, Sumerian, Burushaski, and Turkic languages]

Re: Dust-sucker [Was: Re: Armenian, Sumerian, Burushaski, and Turkic languages]

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-06/msg01619.html>

- *From:* "Peter T. Daniels" <grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 27 Jun 2007 04:35:40 -0700
-

On Jun 27, 6:16 am, phogl...@xxxxxx wrote:

On 26 kesä, 16:16, "Peter T. Daniels" <gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jun 26, 8:03 am, phogl...@xxxxxx wrote:

On 26 kesä, 14:17, "Peter T. Daniels" <gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

When
writing in
English,
you must
use the
English
meanings of
words.

I _am_ using the English
meaning of words, Peter. It
is a well-known
fact of life that the animal
called man (Homo sapiens)
genetically
speaking has no
clear-defined subspecies,
with the possible exception
of African pygmies, who are
genetically more different
from the
neighbouring tribesmen than
those tribesmen are from

Re: Dust-sucker [Was: Re: Armenian, Sumerian, Burushaski, and Turkic languages]

you and me. So,
in the context of human
beings, the only sensible and
useful meaning
of the word "race" is "the
group of people a particular
clique of
racist bigots detests".-

Learn English.

We non-native speakers are the majority of the users of
English, and
we are the ones who make the rules from now on. Learn to
live with it..-

You've tried that one before. It still won't fly.

I am sorry, but I definitely disagree.-

Fine. If you want to continually be misunderstood on this extremely
sensitive topic, then continue to use the word wrong.

It simply marks you as an insensitive stubborn fool.

.