

Re: Are "semi-creoles" widespread?

Re: Are "semi-creoles" widespread?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-08/msg01817.html>

- *From:* Darkstar <darkstar100@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 30 Aug 2007 10:52:13 -0700
-

On Aug 30, 8:12 pm, "Brian M. Scott" <b.sc...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Thu, 30 Aug 2007 00:02:48 -0700, Darkstar
<darkstar...@xxxxxxxx> wrote in
<news:1188457368.390504.5300@xx>
in sci.lang:

[...]

You seem to be very interested in arguing about minutiae
and looking for other people's faults.

There's nothing to argue about: I'm simply pointing out your
errors.

No you're not. To point out an error is to correct it and offer a
certain (often very complex) argumentation to support your view. It
take CONSTRUCTIVE position from both sides. While you're just stupidly
repeating wrong-wrong-wrong-error-error-error. That's equivalent to
not saying anything at all. But there's prbbly no point arguing about
such obvious things. An old dog will learn no new tricks, sorry. Go
teach your students or something. Sorry again, love ya.