

Re: Fr/lat/ru tu-vous/tu-vos/ – : etymology ?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-10/msg00268.html>

- *From:* "Brian M. Scott" <b.scott@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 7 Oct 2007 15:49:04 -0400
-

On Sun, 07 Oct 2007 12:18:42 -0700, "Peter T. Daniels"
<grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
<news:1191784722.180511.321930@xx>
in sci.lang:

On Oct 7, 2:56 pm, "Brian M. Scott" <b.sc...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 07 Oct 2007 11:48:41 -0700, "Peter T. Daniels"
<gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
<news:1191782921.133757.305920@xx>
in sci.lang:

[...]

[<woz>:]

You said it would rhyme with <Oz>, which is to say it
would be pronounced like standard <was>.

No. <Oz> is [Az]; no common U.S. pronunciation of <was> has
[A].

You're mad, sir. "Was" and "Oz" are a perfect rhyme.
(Whatever symbol you choose to use to represent the
vowel.)

Re: Fr/lat/ru tu-vous/tu-vos/ – : etymology ?

They aren't. It isn't the Wizard of Oz. It *is* 'we wuz robbed'.

Brian

.