

Re: Fr/lat/ru tu-vous/tu-vos/ – : etymology ?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-10/msg00286.html>

- *From:* "Brian M. Scott" <b.scott@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 8 Oct 2007 00:29:30 -0400
-

On Sun, 07 Oct 2007 21:01:12 -0700, "Peter T. Daniels"
<grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
<news:1191816072.782792.112310@xx>
in sci.lang:

On Oct 7, 10:35 pm, "Brian M. Scott" <b.sc...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 07 Oct 2007 14:00:29 -0700, "Peter T. Daniels"
<gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
<news:1191790829.370603.23110@xx>
in sci.lang:

On Oct 7, 3:49 pm, "Brian M. Scott"
<b.sc...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 07 Oct 2007 12:18:42 -0700, "Peter
T. Daniels"
<gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
<news:1191784722.180511.321930@xx>
in sci.lang:

[...]

You're mad, sir. "Was" and
"Oz" are a perfect rhyme.
(Whatever symbol you
choose to use to represent
the

Re: Fr/lat/ru tu–vous/tu–vos/ – : etymology ?

vowel.)

They aren't. It isn't the Wizard of Oz. It *is*
'we wuz
robbed'.

Maybe in rural outskirts of Cleveland.

I rather doubt that Joe Jacobs grew up in the rural
outskirts of Cleveland.

Who?

Max Schmeling's Jewish–American manager, who famously said
'We wuz robbed!'.

M–W has [az] and [w@z, waz] (s.v. be!)

M–W OnLine has, using its symbolism, /'w&z/ and /'wäz/. Its
/&/ represents both [V] and [@] ('\&\ as a and u in abut'),
and stress mark in /'w&z/ suggests that they're talking
about the stressed form of the word: [wVz], then [wAz].
Does the printed version use other symbols, or did you
simply misunderstand them?

AHD3 s.v. <be> gives the vowel as u–breve or o–breve (in
that order) when stressed and as @ when unstressed; this
also is [wVz] or [wAz], with unstressed [w@z].

I retract my earlier statement that no common U.S. variety
has [A]; I do not retract the claim that [V] is common,
quite possibly more common than [A].

Brian

.

Re: Fr/lat/ru tu–vous/tu–vos/ – : etymology ?