

Re: Waradpande seems to have destroyed PIE already

Re: Waradpande seems to have destroyed PIE already

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-12/msg00018.html>

- *From:* Harlan Messinger <hmessinger.removethis@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 01 Dec 2007 09:07:26 -0500
-

Franz Gnaedinger wrote:

On Nov 30, 4:12 pm, "Peter T. Daniels" <gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Finally!!!! You have admitted that you are not doing science!!!!

Tame your temper, young man. I called my Magdalenian approach to early language an experiment. Yes, it is an experiment, and experiments won a place in science. Experimental archaeology was at first not considered scientific, now it has a firm place in archaeology.

If so, it's because it was falsifiable and was found to have stood up to the test.

Experimental math-history was not considered scientific ten years ago,

What the hell is "experimental math-history"? Evidently something else that you've made up. I just Googled the phrase and received just three hits—all of which involve you.

and I was expelled from a math history forum;

So evidently it still isn't considered scientific, so why did you raise it as an example?

by now it is not yet really accepted but I was allowed back into that forum. Experimental paleo-linguistics is not yet considered scientific by you

Your exercises aren't experiments.

.

Re: Waradpande seems to have destroyed PIE already