

Re: Waradpande seems to have destroyed PIE already

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2007-12/msg00033.html>

- *From:* "Peter T. Daniels" <grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 1 Dec 2007 10:09:54 -0800 (PST)
-

On Dec 1, 11:58 am, Franz Gnaedinger <f...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Dec 1, 3:07 pm, Harlan Messinger

<hmessinger.remove...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

If so, it's because it was falsifiable and was found to have stood up to the test.

Very recently I rproposed a test. I gave an explanation of words for wolf on the Magdalenian basis that differes pointedly from the PIE explanations, and asked people to point out a flaw or an impossibility in my reconstructions. They gave up, they could not point out a violation of a PIE sound law in my reconstruction,

What would a "violation of a sound law" be?

When you have gathered a respectable number of examples of systematic correspondences between daughter-words that result in your "Magdalenian" roots (and they turn out different from the standard PIE reconstructions), then you can state the rules that they obey, and when further potential examples of the same rule are suggested, people can see whether they fit the patterns you will have claimed to have discovered.

"Respectable number" can vary, because it's not unreasonable to expect, say, all the voiced stops to conform to a particular pattern, or all the voiceless nasals, or whatever else you might find it necessary to posit to account for all the observed regular correspondences.

nor could they point out
a mistake and obvious flaw in my broader understanding

Re: Waradpande seems to have destroyed PIE already

of PIE, much more differentiated in time and space than the PIE of comparative linguistics. In short: PIE is the evening red of Magdalenian.

What the hell is "experimental math–history"? Evidently something else that you've made up. I just Googled the phrase and received just three hits—all of which involve you.

A term coined by me, and a method proposed and developed by me.

Your exercises aren't experiments.

My approach to the Ice Age language of Europe is an experiment, as are the experiments of experimental archaeology.

Please learn the meaning of the word "experiment" in English. Maybe it means something different in Swiss.

.