

# Re: Idiot spam attack on sci.lang

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-01/msg01129.html>

---

- *From:* "Peter T. Daniels" <[grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:grammatim@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 25 Jan 2008 16:58:04 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Jan 25, 7:52 pm, richard01 <[richardparke...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:richardparke...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On 26 Jan, 02:28, "Peter T. Daniels" <[gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jan 25, 8:39 am, Franz Gnaedinger <[f...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:f...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jan 25, 2:21 pm, Richard Herring <[junk@\[127.0.0.1\]](mailto:junk@[127.0.0.1])> wrote:

That's because it's unfalsifiable. What does that tell you about your "approach", considered as a scientific theory?

I always engage in discussions, I even tell people how to do it: choose the worst I say and nail me on it.

They always do.

I never weasel away,

You always do.

Re: Idiot spam attack on sci.lang

I always stand my man. And  
until now I won the discussions.

You never did.

I proposed numerous  
reconstructions, daring ones. Nobody can point them  
out as wrong.

Of course not. They have no basis in reality, there is nothing to  
evaluate them against.

As long as you can't do that, with all  
your titles and all your universities and libraries and  
connections in your background, as long I feel entitled  
to go on with my experiment.

You do not know the meaning of the English word "experiment."

Has anybody suggested otherwise?

They suggest that you stop plaguing us with the results, but "publish"  
them in newsgroups where they enjoy discussing such things, such as  
conlang newsgroups.

Of course, all the time, they try to send me away,  
to conlang or so.

That's because what you are doing is constructing a language.

Re: Idiot spam attack on sci.lang

What is a "Google account", and how do you "fill" it?

If you look up my profile you see a lot of messages that have not been written and posted by me but by that criminal who abuses my name and Google identity, appearing in groups I would never visit. Or look up "messages by this author": the same crap sent by the criminal who abuses my name, my own messages buried under them. Neither does Google remove that crap from my account, nor can I remove it, and when I report abuse I get ever the same robot answer. They have a serious security problem.

Once again: What is a "Google account"?

Usenet in general uses protocols that have no security. How is that specifically Google's problem?

If Google runs an account of my messages,

Ah. It appears that you don't know the meaning of the English word "account."

then  
they should not allow criminals who abuse my name and Google identity to fill my account with his crap. Their robot can always tell me they do their best in order to improve the groups – when I see my account filled with crap by a criminal, archived by Google under my name, I say Google has a problem and is a part of the present mess, probably owing to their too fast implementation of ever new features. They should consolidate what they achieved so far before adding ever new features.– Hide quoted text –

Re: Idiot spam attack on sci.lang

– Show quoted text –

Google Groups (I didn't know this before being informed on this forum) is only a portal to various Usenet groups.

Please excuse me if I am apparently teaching you (or others) to suck eggs, but you don't seem to know much about Google Groups.

If you had bothered to look at the subthread you were responding to, you would have seen that it is Franz who does not understand google groups.

.