

## Re: Idiot spam attack on sci.lang

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-01/msg01130.html>

---

- *From:* richard01 <[richardparker01@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:richardparker01@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 25 Jan 2008 17:16:23 -0800 (PST)
- 

On 26 Jan, 08:58, "Peter T. Daniels" <[gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jan 25, 7:52 pm, richard01 <[richardparke...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:richardparke...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On 26 Jan, 02:28, "Peter T. Daniels" <[gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jan 25, 8:39 am, Franz Gnaedinger <[f...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:f...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

On Jan 25, 2:21 pm, Richard Herring  
<[junk@\[127.0.0.1\]](mailto:junk@[127.0.0.1])> wrote:

That's because it's  
unfalsifiable. What does that  
tell you about your  
"approach", considered as a  
scientific theory?