

Re: Who was the real father of Jesus?

Re: Who was the real father of Jesus?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-01/msg01393.html>

- *From:* António Marques <m.ap@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 31 Jan 2008 18:41:56 +0000
-

Paul J Kriha wrote:

"António Marques" <m.ap@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:479da258\\$0\\$26050\\$88260bb3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:479da258$0$26050$88260bb3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Yusuf B Gursev wrote:

the qualifications for the Messiah are articulated in the OT,
specifically IIRC Isaiah.

the word used there is "son of an 3alma (young woman)" but
this was
translated as "virgin" in the LXX.

By default all guys are sons of a woman, and usually the woman is young,
and at that time people didn't live to old age so often, so what would
be the point in mentioning that the Messiah would be the son of a young
woman?

I think it would make a lot of sense to say he was a son of a woman
as distinct from, say, a god sent from heaven, or a spirit who took
a human form, or many other possibilities not involving a normal
biological human birth.

That is quite correct, but in that case they should have said the Messiah was to be the son of a 'woman', not a
'young woman'. Maybe we should send them an e-mail.

Posted via a free Usenet account from <http://www.teranews.com>

.