

Re: new book on the spread of IE

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-02/msg00530.html>

- *From:* "benlizro@xxxxxxxxxxx" <benlizro@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 19 Feb 2008 13:10:45 -0800 (PST)
-

On Feb 20, 5:43 am, ekk...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Feb 16, 11:59 am, "benli...@xxxxxxxxxxx" <benli...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I guess it's about time we had a Chinese ethnomaniac telling us that linguistics has got it all wrong, to go with our Indian, Slavic, Greek and other specimens of the type.

Ross Clark

You mean Isidore Dyen is not a linguist?

-- Ekki

Which of your views does Isidore Dyen share?

Anyhow, it's not clear what your views are in the present discussion. You claimed to have posted a lot about Vietnamese and Mon-Khmer, but didn't explain what ideas you have about that. Apparently your statement that Vietnamese was "not exactly a Chinese dialect" was sarcasm or something. Then there was portentous talk about Chinese people learning their "true history" instead of "lies". What's the "true history"? What "lies"?

Ross Clark

.