

## Re: Cybalist "laryngeal" lunacy

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-03/msg01039.html>

---

- *From:* Dunaan Vukoti <[dusan.vukotic@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dusan.vukotic@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 27 Mar 2008 11:05:09 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Mar 27, 5:22 pm, "Brian M. Scott" <[b.sc...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:b.sc...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Thu, 27 Mar 2008 11:34:39 -0400, Harlan Messinger  
<[hmessinger.removet...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:hmessinger.removet...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in  
<[news:651t4vF1vtileU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:651t4vF1vtileU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> in sci.lang:

Du<sup>1</sup>an Vukotiæ wrote:

On Mar 27, 10:52 am, Harlan Messinger  
<[hmessinger.removet...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:hmessinger.removet...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

[...]

You mean, besides that fact that everybody  
in the world  
but you doubts it. (You forget--we here  
have seen your  
"proofs" and we know that they leave  
enough room for  
doubt and several elephants as well.)

It is not the truth! Can you point out at least one of  
the messages where my Xur-Bel-Gon speech formula has  
been falsified in any segment?

The fact that nobody has bothered to disprove something  
you made up doesn't mean it's true.

Indeed. Responding to Du<sup>1</sup>an's Xur-Bel-Gon fantasy is a  
complete waste of time. The stuff is such obvious crap that  
no refutation is necessary; it would be like putting health  
warning labels on a kid's mudpies. He isn't going to learn  
anything from a response anyway: he can't even learn from  
responses to less obvious nonsense.

Re: Cybalist "laryngeal" lunacy

In his case I doubt that there's even any point to prophylactic responses: anyone capable of being taken in is very likely beyond help.

[...]

Brian

Copy/Paste Brainy, here is your message (underneath) you wrote on Cybalist recently:

<http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/cybalist/message/56017>

In cybalist@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, "Brian M. Scott" <BMScott@...> wrote:  
At 5:23:24 PM on Wednesday, March 26, 2008, alexandru\_mg3 wrote:

... and if you will go further Vallachia is finally linked with Walhalla – the great hall in Norse mythology where heroes slain in battle are received

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/valhalla>

The etymological information at that very site shows that there's no connection.

Brian

I will not talk about Marius' nonsensical comparison of "apples and oranges", neither about your copy/paste "scientific knowledge" nor about the "slave-ic" character of your troglodytic judgement abilities.

I am going to ask you a (simple) question. What is the relation among the words kolo (circle), AS wæl (kill, slain; cf. AS bana /killer/; Serb. ubica /killer/; ubijen /killed/), OE cwellan (kill), Serb. klati, is-klati (slay); AS cwellan (kill, slay) cwealde (slew); Seb. cveljati (hurt; cf. ciljati /aim, target/), cweljanje (hurting, anguishing), English whole, Serb. celina (whole); Eng. WHEEL and Serb. KOLO (wheel)? Can you see what the basis of the all above words is? If you understand that KOLO (wheel) is the "source" of the words mentioned above, can you tell us how it happened?

Re: Cybalist "laryngeal" lunacy

Re: Cybalist "laryngeal" lunacy

According to the HSF Xur–Bel–Gon theory these words were derived from the primal Gon–Bel–Gon basis. It means that Serbian word ubijen (killed; from h/ubijen; cf. Serb. po–gubljen executed) is a distant relative to English "killed". Come on, enter this discussion; do not be so afraid and shy.

I will show you what the real linguistic science is.

DV

.