

## Re: Conversation with a deaf person (Extracts) about the Phaistos Disk.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-08/msg00083.html>

---

- *From:* "grapheus@xxxxxxx" <grapheus@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sun, 3 Aug 2008 01:14:10 -0700 (PDT)
- 

A) (sentenciously) : "People usually get interested in deciphering scripts because knowing how to read one artifact, you know how to read

other artifacts using the same script".

B)- This is a very narrow point of view !

A)(angrily) : It's not a point of view !

B)- ?????

-----  
A)(sentenciously) : " Facts which don't fit established scientific theories are disregarded".

B)- But the Proto-Ionian Theory can be easily integrated in the scientific frame. By the way, have you ever read any book or paper on it ?

A)-(angrily) : Your frame work says it isn't a one-of-the kind item, so it has no relevance to this point.

B)- ?????

-----  
B)-" Many scholars say that they are "not interested" in the Phaistos Disk ONLY because they know that studying it seriously would led them to

abandon the dogma they have defended for years.

A)- Nonsense. Any of them would be delighted to appropriate any good theory and be the one to explain the Disk. Your digression on how the Phaistos Disk is important and how the Proto-Ionians could explain everything misses the mark.

B)- Not at all !.. It's the HEART of the matter... By the way, have you ever read any book on the Proto-Ionian Theory ?

A)- The heart of the matter is that it doesn't suffice.

(Sentenciously) Any one can built a coherent theory that integrates any given number of one-of-the-kind items.

B)- OK. That you refuse to be informed about this theory is YOUR problem. But some other scholars – happily– are of a different opinion.

END of the conversation.

grapheus

.