

# Re: elementary Sanskrit blunder by Harvard professor

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-09/msg01043.html>

---

- *From:* [analyst41@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:analyst41@xxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Tue, 30 Sep 2008 08:13:46 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Sep 30, 8:08 am, "Peter T. Daniels" <[gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Sep 30, 7:03 am, [analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx) wrote:

On Sep 29, 11:11 pm, "Peter T. Daniels" <[gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Sep 29, 9:03 pm, [analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx) wrote:

On Sep 29, 6:16 pm, "Peter T. Daniels"  
<[gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gramma...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Sep 29, 4:09 pm,  
[analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)  
wrote:

On Sep 29,  
3:01 pm,  
Nathan  
Sanders  
<[nsand...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nsand...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

[analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:analys...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)  
wrote:

Would  
the  
(re)constructed  
"PIE"  
change  
in  
anyway  
if

Re: elementary Sanskrit blunder by Harvard professor

Iranian  
is  
excluded?