

Re: legal statement re Panu Petteri Höglund

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.lang/2008-10/msg00584.html>

- *From:* Franz Gnaedinger <frgn@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 12 Oct 2008 22:40:46 -0700 (PDT)
-

Legal statement regarding Panu Petteri Höglund,
part 2, my way of posting

One way of tricking me out is to quote a line or two of a message of mine, snip the rest, and ask me a question -- a question that would have been answered in the snipped lines, so that I must repeat myself, and then again, and again, and again, and all over again, always starting from zero, never getting anywhere. Holding me up in my work is the reason of this behaving. As a counter measure I developed a new way of posting: quoting my own messages, so I can refer to the answer already given in the quote. I discuss in various threads, usually replying to a question, when I have to say something and think I can shed light on a word or a problem from my particular vantage point. And then, when a problem is solved, a word explained, I publish a concise version in my publishing thread (from now on here, in this new publishing thread of mine). I feel entitled to quote my messages in this well considered way, as I `_work_` in `sci.lang`, I don't chat, I don't spread my name in thousands of messages void of any scientific content. My way of posting has another advantage in that my work gets more coherent and is more easily followed, also printed out (several messages in one message). Also I secure my work from a potential random loss of messages from the archive.

Panu Petteri Höglund menaced me with reporting me to Google for spamming, whereupon I wrote my Open Letter to the Google Company (re Panu Petteri Höglund) on September 19, 2008, in three parts, wherein I also explain my particular way of posting. I sent my letter to Google (reporting Panu Petteri Höglund for stalking me). My Google account was not closed down, no Google administrator and

Re: legal statement re Panu Petteri Höglund

no web official asked me to change my posting policy. I feel free to go on with my work and with my way of publishing and quoting in here, and I end this part of my legal statement with the questions posed at the end of my open letter from September 19: What is the sense of storing terabytes of idle chatter? Why does Google shoot nets if not hoping for fish, or, in this case, ideas?

(end of part 2, to be continued)

Franz Gnaedinger, Zurich, October 13, 2008

—

Legal statement regarding Panu Petteri Höglund,
part 1, my work in sci.lang

A former regular of sci.lang, Jacques Guy, pasted a message of mine from sci.archaeology to sci.lang without informing me. When I found out, I crossposted the thread "Did the Trojan war really happen the way Homer said it did?" to sci.lang. In that long thread I interpreted symbols in Homer – in Homer as focus of the ancient world. We can read Homer, we get all his words, but do we really understand him? No, I say, not until we comprehend the symbols. For example the Trojan war was caused by beautiful Helen of the white arms. Can anyone believe this? Hardly. But Helen is not a woman in Homer, she is the symbol of tin of the white ingots, and she is surrounded by a whole family of metals. Tin was rare and precious in the Bronze Age, it came from the Ore Mountains in Middle Europe, and from Central Asia, and was in either way bound to pass Troy ...

Sharing ideas is the reason and purpose of posting to the Usenet. I do share my ideas, I got plenty ideas, I work in the Usenet, I do not chat and fool around, and often I publish a new idea on the same day or on the next morning (for example the one about Helen). For all the years I spent in Usenet groups I have been attacked, in every which way, and perpetually. I was offended time and again, made fun of, insulted, confronted with every ad hominem and plenty of lies, even stalked. I am getting attacked all the time, perpetually, as I said. First I reply in a scientific way, using scientific arguments. If it doesn't help I use humor. Colin Fine, a former member of sci.lang, complimented me

Re: legal statement re Panu Petteri Höglund

on my humor: I keep it, however hard I am being attacked. But when the attacks go on for too long a time, I give back. It is not healthy to swallow all the poison people spit in my face. Now I am a true scientist, I got ideas, ergo my words have a weight, and when I finally, after having issued several warnings, give back, people wonder that their behaving – they had been so cozy in their endless attacks on me – can have consequences, and does have consequences.

Jacques Guy, whom I mentioned above, and who had made a lot of fun of me, protected me in a most decided and vigorous way in a bad case of stalking by the end of 2004 and begin of 2005. I thanked him, and I consider him a true son of Voltaire's country (not being of my opinion, he defended my freedom of speech). In early 2005 I reconstructed an amazing lunisolar calendar from ideograms in the Lascaux cave, looked out for a matching language, found none in literature, began reconstructing one myself using the approach by Prof. Dr. Richard Fester, working first in an intuitive way, then discovering four laws, using them for mining groups of Magdalenian words, as I call them, and now I use them for etymologizing words from recent languages, for example English bear. Proto-Indo-European PIE explains bear as the Brown One. I explain bear as the Furry One, provider of the best fur, thick, longhaired, soft and warm. As nobody can disprove my etymology, nor provide new and better evidence for the PIE etymology, I feel free to go on with my Magdalenian experiment, in my terms, and in my specific ways of posting (that will be the topic of the next part of my legal statement regarding Panu Petteri Höglund). People can always discuss with me, but in a scientific way. I always tell them the same: if you don't like what I say, pick out the worst I say – the worst in your opinion – and I shall discuss it with you, and at any length you like, this being the proper way of discussing in a scientific forum, and the proper way to get somewhere.

(end of part 1, to be continued)

Franz Gnaedinger, Zurich, October 12, 2008

–

Introduction into my new thread
(and a warning to Panu Petteri Höglund)

Re: legal statement re Panu Petteri Höglund

I will start my new Magdalenian thread, the third one, with an improved version of my etymology of English bear. You may remember: PIE explains bear as the brown one, Magdalenian as the furry one, provider of the best fur, thick, longhaired, soft and warm.

Then I will go on in my usual way, discussing in other threads – answering questions if I can shed light on a word from my vantage point –, and then publish a concise version here in this thread. Which is my publishing thread, and not a chatroom for others. There is already more than enough chatting going on in this forum, notabene a scientific forum. I wish to keep my publishing thread lean, and I hope the majority of people in here will respect my wish.

(By the end of my former Magdalenian thread, on September 19, I had to write an open letter to the Google company regarding Panu Petteri Höglund alias craoibhi. He does himself harm by harassing and stalking me. He should just keep away from me. I know the value of my work. He can't make himself a name by molesting me, or only a bad one. If he can't leave me in peace and keep away from this thread, I will have to write an addendum to my open letter to the Google company. He once told me that it was a bad idea to attack me and make fun of me. Now has come the time to draw the consequences, or to take the consequences on himself. – I had sent a copy of my open letter to the Google company to the Google company, reporting Panu Petteri Höglund as my online stalker. I will send them also the addendum, if I really have to write it. In my open letter from September 19, delivered in two parts, I also explain my peculiar way of posting and quoting from my own messages. My open letter can easily be retrieved from the archive of the groups maintained by Google.)

–