

Re: Exception to the rule? (Tarski's T-scheme)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-06/1765.html>

From: G. Frege (*no_spam_at_aol.com*)

Date: 06/24/04

Date: Thu, 24 Jun 2004 10:26:41 +0200

On 23 Jun 2004 22:00:40 -0700, paulholbachSPAMBAN@freenet.de (Paul Holbach) wrote:

>>
>> *But certainly you can't have the T-scheme, since it requires that at least*
>> *two things exist.*
>>
>
> *Right. - Thanks to you, I'm now well aware of this fact. :-)*
>

This is only a fact if our meta logic is classical. There we already assume that our domain is not empty (requires that at least one thing exists). Then the T-Scheme requires that at least two things exist. (Actually, two different wffs.) With other words, the domain of wffs is not empty.

F.