

Re: Alan Turing's Halting Problem is Incorrect (FINAL PART)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-07/1156.html>

From: Peter Olcott (*olcott_at_worldnet.att.net*)

Date: 07/09/04

Date: Fri, 09 Jul 2004 22:24:44 GMT

> > > *Limitation: The act of limiting or the state of being limited.*
> > > *Limit: The point, edge, or line beyond which something cannot or*
> > > *may not proceed.*
> > >
> > > *Notice that limits are not relative to other entities.*
> > >
> > > *Martin*
> > >
> > *Try limited and limitation, they are closer in my dictionary.*
> >
>
> *I already had limitation. Here is limited. (Again from*
> *Dictionary.com)*
>
> *Limited: To confine or restrict within a boundary or bounds.*
>
> *Again, no mention of other entities.*
>
> *Martin*

The big program with the KR of AI is that the meaning of words is defined by the meaning of the words that they are defined by on and on recursively to great depth.

This is what I mean by the term "limits", "limitation", and "limited", the meaning behind their meaning.

The original Halting Problem actually boils down to an analytical impossibility. If analytical impossibility and limit meant exactly the same thing, then there would be no need for speed limit signs. People could not break a limit that is also an analytical impossibility.

SPEED LIMIT 186,000 miles per second
(According to Einstein no one breaks this speed limit)