

Re: Liar paradox, one more time

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-07/3368.html>

From: Paul Holbach (paulholbachSPAMBAN_at_freenet.de)

Date: 07/30/04

Date: 30 Jul 2004 12:30:59 -0700

- > *"Poker Joker" <Poker@wi.rr.com> wrote in message news:<*
- > *YkiOc.56407\$vN3.20520@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>...*
- > > *"Paul Holbach" <paulholbachSPAMBAN@freenet.de> wrote in message*
- > > *news:881c8779.0407291735.34ac845a@posting.google.com...*

- > > *Would you mind revealing your definition of "semantically acceptable"?*

- > *Let's just say that a sentence is semantically acceptable if its meaning*
- > *is well understood.*

For example, the sentence "This sentence is hungry" is semantically incongruous, because sentences do not belong to the kind of things that can feel hunger.

But "This sentence is not true" is not semantically incongruous, because (assertoric) sentences are exactly the things that can be true or false.

Regards
PH