

## Re: Liar paradox, one more time

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.logic/2004-07/3400.html>

---

**From:** Poker Joker (*Poker\_at\_wi.rr.com*)

**Date:** 07/30/04

Date: Fri, 30 Jul 2004 22:30:36 GMT

"Paul Holbach" <paulholbachSPAMBAN@freenet.de> wrote in message  
news:881c8779.0407301125.1567a2f1@posting.google.com...

> > "Poker Joker" <Poker@wi.rr.com> wrote in message news:<

> > YkiOc.56407\$vn3.20520@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>...

> > > "Paul Holbach" <paulholbachSPAMBAN@freenet.de> wrote in message

> > > news:881c8779.0407291735.34ac845a@posting.google.com...

>

> > > Would you mind revealing your definition of "semantically acceptable"?

>

> > Let's just say that a sentence is semantically acceptable if its meaning

> > is well understood; Almost everyone who would be expected to receive

> > the message would gather the same meaning. With regards to the

> > following sentence: "This sentence is not true." What meaning do you

> > gather?

>

> The meaning I gather is that the sentence "This sentence is not true"

> says of itself that it is not true.

So you gather nothing other than the words. That's what your  
telling me. You said the sentence means the words in it. I normally  
can express meaning beyond the given words in a sentence, but  
I don't blame you if you can't.

> > Do you think it is the same meaning I gather?

>

> I beg your pardon, but I happen to be incapable of scanning your mind

> telepathically.

So you are incapable of reasoning out what I might think? Note that  
I didn't ask you what I think. I asked you about something you thought.  
Is your answer as far as your thoughts can go?